



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

### NOTES OF CASES.

---

**A Too Speedy Trial.**—Late in the afternoon of September 7, 1921, a negro man was arrested in Williamson, W. Va., and lodged in the county jail located in that city. On the following morning he was taken to the courthouse and informed that he had been indicted for rape, alleged to have been committed upon a certain white girl on the previous day. He announced that he was ready for trial, and upon being arraigned pleaded not guilty. Owing to the fact that he had no counsel to conduct his defense, the court assigned him an attorney, and the trial commenced immediately. During the trial the courthouse was besieged by an angry mob, who were demanding the immediate execution of the negro. Even within the courtroom during the progress of the trial there was disorder and confusion created by those who were demanding that the negro be immediately punished.

Under these conditions, the trial was carried on. The defense of the negro was weak, owing to lack of time in which to prepare; in fact, there was not one witness called on his behalf. The cross-examination of the state's witnesses was poorly conducted, and as a matter of fact little was done to accord the accused a fair trial, or a chance to properly defend himself. A verdict of guilty was returned by the jury, and the prisoner was sentenced to death, to be executed on October 17, 1921. That same evening he was placed on a passenger train and conveyed to the penitentiary. The prisoner brought error, and the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia in *State v. Latimar*, 111 Southeastern Reporter, 510, reversed the decision of the lower court and awarded the negro a new trial. Judge Lively wrote the opinion, from which the following remarks are quoted:

"The mob has dictated this conviction. The bloodthirsty mob spirit permeated the atmosphere of the trial, and had its effect upon court and jury. \* \* \* Under the stress of this situation it would not have been surprising if the prisoner had pleaded guilty, thereby hoping to escape the threatening mob, and thus prolong his life. \* \* \* The defendant may be guilty; that does not concern us. But he is entitled to a fair and impartial trial, to the calm, deliberate, and uninfluenced judgment of his peers. Orderly and constituted government demands such trial. It is a safeguard in which all members of society are interested, and which should be jealously upheld and guarded. A judicial lynching is a graver and more startling crime than a lynching by the irresponsible rabble. \* \* \* Much of the success of any form of government depends upon the opinion of those governed, of its power to protect them in the administration of the laws, and in the wisdom and integrity of those who govern. When the courts do not uphold the laws, respect for law and for government ceases. \* \* \* We are of the opinion that the prisoner has not had a fair trial, and that the lower court committed palpable error in not sustaining his motion for a new trial."